

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/716,577		GIROUX, KAREN	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BLESSING M. FUBARA		1618	

All Participants:

(1) BLESSING M. FUBARA.

(2) Robert J. Harris.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

52, 20, 27, 21, 28 and the pending claims reciting first.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Katherine Krueger.

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to delete "first" from the claims, amend the specification to incorporate limitations in original claims 20, 21, 57 and 58 so that the specification will provide antecedent support for the claims, the examiner suggested cancelation of claim 52 because of dispersion of the second active agent in the polymer matrix (claim 80) v. appending of second active agent to the polymer (claim 52 depended from claim 80)..